



Pollution Coverage Issues

Table of Contents

Pollution Coverage Issues

- Historic Development
 - Evolution of the CGL Pollution Exclusion
 - Pre-1970 Pollution Exclusions
 - 1970 Pollution Endorsement
 - "Discharge, Dispersal, Release or Escape"
 - "Sudden and Accidental" Exception
 - 1973 CGL Policy
 - Underwriting Intent
 - 1981 Pollution Endorsements
 - General Liability Policies
 - OCP Policies
 - 1984 Pollution Endorsement
 - "Actual, Alleged, or Threatened"
 - Insured's Premises
 - Waste Disposal Facilities
 - Construction Sites
 - Response Cost Exclusion
 - Definition of "Pollutants"
 - 1986 CGL Policy
 - Minor Changes
 - Pollutants Brought to Construction Sites
 - "Groundless, False or Fraudulent" Language
 - 1986 Pollution Endorsements
 - "Hostile Fire" Endorsement
 - Broadened Pollution Coverage
 - 1988 CGL Policy
 - "Seepage or Migration"
 - Discontinued Activities
 - "Any Insured"
 - Pollutants Brought to Construction Sites
 - Built-in "Hostile Fire" Exception
 - Revisions to Response Cost Exclusion
 - 1993 CGL Policy
 - 1996 CGL Policy
 - "Mobile Equipment" Exception

- Road Spraying Provision
 - 1998 CGL Policy
 - Heating Equipment Exception
 - Additional Insured's Premises
 - Formatting Changes to "Hostile Fire" Exception
 - Changes to Road Spraying Provision
 - Indoor Fumes Exception
 - Revisions to Response Cost Exclusion
 - 2001 CGL Policy
 - 2004 CGL Policy
 - 2007 CGL Policy
 - 2013 CGL Policy
 - 1973 Policy Jacket Specimen
 - 1973 Coverage Part Specimen
 - Broad Form CGL Endorsement
- CGL Coverage Issues
 - U.S. Overview
 - 1. Definition of "Suit"
 - Discussion
 - Pro-Policyholder States
 - Pro-Insurer States
 - Intermediate States
 - Standard Policy Fixes
 - 1984 Changes
 - 1986 Changes
 - 1988 Changes
 - Conclusion
 - Map / National Summary
 - Map
 - National Summary
 - Pro-Policyholder States
 - Pro-Insurer States
 - Intermediate States
 - 2. Definition of "Damages"
 - Discussion
 - Pro-Policyholder States
 - Pro-Insurer States
 - Intermediate States
 - Prophylactic Costs To Prevent Future Pollution
 - Postpollution Containment Costs
 - Agency Ordered Remediation Costs
 - Pre Tender Expenses

- Investigation Expenses as Defense Costs
 - Ordinary Business Expenses
 - Civil Fines and Penalties
 - Standard Policy Fixes
 - 1984 Changes
 - 1986 Changes
 - 1988 Changes
 - Conclusion
 - Map / National Summary
 - Map
 - National Summary
 - Pro-Policyholder States
 - Pro-Insurer States
 - Intermediate States
- 3. Coverage Triggers
 - Discussion
 - Evolution of Standard Trigger Language
 - Evidentiary Difficulties
 - Early Cases
 - Mraz v Canadian Universal Manifestation Discovery Trigger
 - Cases Following Mraz
 - Criticisms of the Mraz Approach
 - Other Approaches
 - Pro-Policyholder States Exposure Trigger
 - Pro-Insurer States Injury in Fact Trigger
 - Intermediate States Continuous Trigger
 - Delaware Continuous Trigger
 - GenCorp Continuous Trigger
 - Rebuttable or Conclusive Presumption
 - Progressive Disease Analogy
 - Conclusion
 - Map / National Summary
 - Map
 - National Summary
 - Pro-Policyholder States
 - Pro-Insurer States
 - Intermediate States
- 4. Known Loss Doctrine
 - Discussion
 - First Party Property Context
 - Third Party Liability Context
 - Pro-Policyholder States

- Pro-Insurer States
 - Intermediate States
 - Underwriting Exception
 - Industry Response to Montrose
 - Conclusion
 - Map / National Summary
 - Map
 - National Summary
 - Pro-Policyholder States
 - Pro-Insurer States
 - Intermediate States
- 5. Allocation
 - Discussions
 - Contractual Allocation
 - 1973 Policy Language
 - Occurrence Trigger
 - All Sums Language
 - Per Occurrence Limit
 - Other Insurance
 - Methods of Sharing
 - Insolvency of Other Insurers
 - Indemnity Cost Allocation
 - Defense Cost Allocation
 - Conclusion
 - "All Sums" Allocation
 - Keene Corp. v. INA
 - "Pick and Choose"
 - "Anti-Stacking"
 - Analysis of "All Sums" Theory
 - Conclusion
 - "Time on Risk" Allocation
 - Forty-Eight Insulations
 - Analysis of "Time on Risk" Theory
 - Conclusion
 - "Time Plus Limits" Allocation
 - Owens-Illinois
 - Conclusion
 - Map / National Summary
 - Map
 - National Summary
 - Pro-Policyholder States

- Pro-Insurer States
 - Intermediate States
- 6. "Sudden and Accidental" Exception
 - Discussion
 - Pro-Policyholder States
 - Focus on Insureds Intent
 - Pro-Insurer States
 - Focus on Speed of Release
 - Intermediate States
 - Ambiguities in the Complaint
 - Discrete Release Argument
 - Superseding Event Analysis
 - Special Situations
 - Deliberate Behavior Indifference
 - Multiple Accidental Spills
 - Standard Policy Fix
 - Conclusion
 - Map / National Summary
 - Map
 - National Summary
 - Pro-Policyholder States
 - Pro-Insurer States
 - Intermediate States
- 7. "Owned Property" Exclusion
 - Discussion
 - On Site Contamination
 - Exceptions to Owned Property Exclusion
 - Groundwater Contamination
 - Parens Patriae Theory
 - Government Cleanup Theory
 - Source Remediation Theory
 - Threatened Off Site Contamination
 - Alleged Off Site Contamination
 - Standard Policy Fixes
 - 1984 Changes
 - 1986 Changes
 - Exceptions to the Absolute Pollution Exclusion
 - 2001 Changes
 - Conclusion
 - Map / National Summary
 - Map
 - National Summary

- Pro-Policyholder States
- Pro-Insurer States
- Intermediate States
- 8. Post-1986 Pollution Exclusions
 - Overview
 - Historic Development
 - Two Basic Questions
 - Analyzing Literal Terms
 - Definition of Pollutant
 - Discharge Dispersal Release or Escape
 - Risk Profile Assessment
 - Three Pollution Risk Factors
 - Classic Industrial Pollution
 - Similar High Risk Profiles
 - Low Risk Profiles
 - A New Understanding of the Caselaw
 - Some Cases Do Not Fit the Pattern
 - Conclusion
 - Court Cases by Type of Insured
 - Construction/Trade Contractors
 - Abatement/Remediation
 - Builder/Developer
 - Concrete
 - Demolition
 - Electrical
 - Excavation/Grading/Paving
 - Flooring/Carpet
 - Floor Sealant/Etching
 - HVAC
 - Industrial/Commercial
 - Landscaping
 - Painting/Drywall
 - Plumbing/Septic
 - Renovation/Remodeling
 - Road Construction
 - Roofing
 - Restoration
 - UST Installation/Removal
 - Weatherproofing
 - Other
 - Landfills/Waste Disposal/Recycling
 - Emissions

- Hostile Fire
 - Methane Recovery
 - Site Contamination
 - Other
- Landlords/Tenants/Condos
 - Asbestos
 - Fumes
 - HVAC/Water Heater
 - Lead Paint
 - Pesticide
 - "Sick Building"/Mold
 - Site Contamination
 - Tenant's Operations
 - Other
- Manufacturing
 - Emissions
 - Hostile Fire
 - Products Liability
 - Site Contamination
 - Tank Cleaning
 - Other
- Miscellaneous Industries
 - Agricultural Operation
 - Architects/Engineers
 - Art Center
 - Commercial Compost Facility
 - Day Care
 - Dry Cleaning
 - Equipment Repair/Service
 - Exterminator
 - Furniture Stripping
 - Golf Course
 - Hog Farm
 - Hotel/Motel
 - Ice Skating Rink
 - Lumber
 - Mining
 - Mobile Home Park
 - Nail Salon
 - Packaged Foods
 - Racetrack
 - Railroad

- Real Estate Broker/Agent
 - Restaurant
 - Sandblasting
 - Self-Storage
 - Sod Farm
 - Steel Foundry
 - Trucking & Shipping
 - Warehouse/Packaging
 - Other
 - Municipalities
 - Compost Facility
 - Drinking Water
 - Pesticide
 - Sewage/Wastewater Treatment
 - Sewer Back-Up
 - Site Contamination
 - Other
 - Oil & Gas
 - Drilling/Well Services/Reclamation
 - Gasoline Distributors
 - Gasoline Station/UST
 - Pipelines/Transportation
 - Refining/Storage Facilities
 - Tank Cleaning
 - Residential Heating/Fuel Oil
 - Delivery
 - UST
 - Other
 - Court Cases by Jurisdiction
 - List of Pollutants
- 9. Pollution as Personal Injury
 - Discussion
 - Pre 1986 Language
 - Landlord Tenant Disputes
 - Miscellaneous Wrongful Entries
 - Other Invasion of the Right of Private Occupancy
 - Housing Discrimination and Real Estate Developments
 - 1986 1988 Revisions to the CGL Coverage Form
 - 1986 Revisions
 - 1988 Revisions
 - Mistakes in the 1986 1988 Revisions
 - Pollution Exclusion Only Applied to BI PD

- Using a Definition as an Exclusion
 - Separating a Modifying Phrase from Antecedent
 - Omission of the Word Other
 - Post 1990 Pollution Coverage Disputes
 - States Favoring the Policyholder
 - States Favoring the Insurer
 - Policy Changes to Address Drafting Mistakes
 - 1996 Policy Fix
 - 1998 Clarification
 - Conclusion
- Map / National Summary
 - Map
 - National Summary
- Pro-Policyholder States
- Pro-Insurer States
- 10. Successor Liability Coverage
 - Discussion
 - Types of Corporate Acquisitions
 - Effect of "No Assignment" Clauses
 - Pro-Policyholder States
 - Pro-Insurer States
 - Conclusion
 - Map / National Summary
 - Map
 - National Summary
 - Pro-Policyholder States
 - Pro-Insurer States
- 11. Lost Policies
 - Discussion
 - Diligent Search Requirement
 - Burden of Proof
 - Clear and Convincing Evidence
 - Preponderance of the Evidence
 - Existence of the Lost Policy
 - Business Records
 - Umbrella Schedules of Underlying Insurance
 - Eyewitness Testimony
 - Terms of the Lost Policy
 - Specimen Copies of Standard Forms
 - Preceding or Succeeding Policies
 - Eyewitness Testimony
 - Substance of Essential Terms

- Insurer's Rebuttal
 - Conclusion
 - Pro-Policyholder Cases
 - Pro-Insurer Cases
- IRMI's Environmental Insurance Reporter
 - Georgia Supreme Court Rules Lead Paint Is a "Pollutant"
 - The Reed Decision
 - GFBM and Smith Background Information
 - The Smith Court's Decision
 - The GFBM Court's Decision
 - Conclusion
 - Not Your Average Adult Beverage: Liquid Nitrogen Added to a Cocktail is a "Discharge" of a "Pollutant"
 - The Haven South Beach Case
 - Impact of the Deni Case on Pollution Coverage Decisions under Florida Law
 - Conclusion
 - Carbon Monoxide Is a "Pollutant," Under Connecticut Law
 - The Wayland Case
 - The Exclusion Does Not Bar All Carbon Monoxide Claims
 - Conclusion
 - Mercury and Air Toxics Standards Rule Dealt Blow by U.S. Supreme Court
 - Issue of Compliance Costs
 - Justice Scalia's Opinion
 - Prior Decision Reversed
 - What if an Entity Other Than the Insured Disperses the Pollutant?
 - The Advanced Waste Services Case
 - Reliance on the Hirschhorn and Ace Baking Cases
 - Conclusion
 - Manure and Septage Are "Pollutants" Under Wisconsin Law
 - The Preisler Case
 - The Falk Case
 - Conclusion
 - Fireworks Are Not a "Pollutant" under Hawaii Law
 - The Charter Oak Fire Ins. Co. Case
 - Exclusion Not Limited to Traditional Environmental Pollution
 - Fireworks Do Not Fit the Definition of "Pollutants"
 - Conclusion
 - Pepper Spray Qualifies as a "Pollutant" under Florida Law
 - The Endurance Am. Specialty Ins. Co. Case
 - Interpretation Under "Literal" Approach Leads to Strange Results
 - Use of Corrective Legislation To Clarify Interpretation of Exclusion
 - Indiana's Interpretation of the Post-1986 Pollution Exclusion

- Not All Courts Struggle with Interpretation of the Exclusion
 - House Bill 1241
 - Conclusion
- Manure: Liquid Gold or "Pollutant"? It Is a Matter of Perspective
- Foul Odors May Not Be "Pollution" after All
- Pollution Coverage for Carbon Monoxide Claims
 - Pro-Insurer Cases
 - Questionable Cases
 - Pro-Policyholder Cases
 - Risk Profile Analysis of Carbon Monoxide Claims
 - Conclusion
- CGL Trigger Language Suggests Different Allocation Theories
 - Early Auto Policies
 - 1966 CGL Policy
 - 1973 CGL Policy
 - 1986 CGL Policy
 - Post-1988 CGL Policies
 - Conclusion
- Bat Guano Is a "Pollutant"? Why the Wisconsin Court Got It Wrong
 - Pollution Exclusions in Liability Policies
 - Pollution Exclusions in Property Policies
 - Reassessing the Bat Guano Case
 - August 2013 Update
- Using Biochlor To Prove Injury-in-Fact
 - BIOCHLOR Software
 - Facts of the Case
 - Insurer's Motion To Strike
 - Conclusion
- Fact-Based Allocation
 - Caselaw
 - Conclusion
 - May 2013 Update
- Florida & Indiana in Turmoil
 - Florida--Deni Assoc. and Its Progeny
 - Indiana--Kiger and Its Progeny
 - Conclusion
- Right to Independent Counsel in Pollution Cases
 - Armstrong Cleaners Case
 - Ethical Rule on Conflicts of Interest
 - Blanket Reservation of Rights Does Not Create a Conflict
 - If Applicable, Pollution Exclusion Alone Would Not Create Conflict
 - Other Provisions Might Create a Conflict

- Chinese Wall between Adjusters
 - Conclusion
- Virginia Supreme Court Denies Coverage for Global Warming
 - Facts of the Case
 - Supreme Court's Opinion: No Coverage
 - Allegations of Civil Conspiracy to Blame
 - Nuisance May Be Covered under Other Circumstances
- Chinese Drywall: Does the CGL Pollution Exclusion Apply?
 - Pro-Insurer Decisions
 - Pro-Policyholder Decisions
 - So Who Is Right?
- Contractor Pollution Coverage under the CGL
 - Absolute Pollution Exclusion
 - Job Sites "Owned"/"Occupied" by Contractor
 - Materials "Handled" as Waste
 - Pollutants Brought to the Job Site
 - UST Removal
 - Governmental Cleanup Orders
 - Total Pollution Exclusion
 - "Traditional Environmental Pollution" Limitation
 - Conclusion
- Noncumulation Clauses
 - Insurer Responses to 1966 "Occurrence" Language
 - Avoiding Noncumulation Clauses
 - Conclusion
- Settlement Credits: The "Epicyles" of All Sums Allocation Theory
 - Goodrich v. Commercial Union
 - Problems with "Settlement Credits"
 - Adding "Epicyles" Means the Theory is Incorrect
 - "Settlement Credits" are Legal "Epicyles"
 - Correct Method of Allocation
 - Conclusion
- Measuring Toxicity Levels
 - EPA Airborne Exposure Rankings
 - World Health Organization Pesticide Rankings
 - New Jersey Hazardous Substance Rankings
- Illusory Coverage Argument Is Counterproductive
 - Accepted by Some Courts
 - Rejected by Most Other Courts
 - What's Going on?
 - Conclusion

- Pollution from an Off-Site Source
 - Word "At" Construed
 - Conclusion
 - December 2012 Update
- Assessing EPA's Endangerment Finding on Greenhouse Gases
 - The Clean Air Act
 - Massachusetts v. EPA
 - EPA Proposals
 - EPA Final Endangerment Finding
 - The Underlying Science
 - Implications of the Endangerment Finding
 - Conclusion
- Limits of the "Hostile Fire" Exception
 - Waste Processing/Recycling Facility Limitation
 - "Friendly Fire" Limitation
 - "Transformative Fire" Limitation
 - Conclusion
 - September 2012 Update
 - December 2012 Update
- Pollution Discharged by Vandals
 - Pollution Exclusion Applies Regardless of Fault
 - Valves Opened by Vandals
 - Hostile Fires Set by Vandals
 - Arrange Separate EIL Coverage
- Defending a Mix of Negligence and Pollution
 - Separate Acts of Negligence
 - Negligence Intertwined with Pollution
 - Conclusion
- Substances "Acting as a Pollutant"
 - Smoke
 - Sewage
 - Flammable Gas
 - Inhaled Liquids
 - Slippery Substances
 - June 2011 Update
 - September 2011 Update
 - September 2012 Update
- "Pollutants" That Catch Fire
 - Background of the Noble Energy Case
 - Cause of the Explosion
 - The Courts Decision
 - Definition of Pollutant

- Arising Out of Pollutants
- Traditional View of Hostile Fire Exceptions
- Substance Acting as a Pollutant
- Critique of the Courts Decision
- The Policy Had Nonstandard Causation Language
- Hazardous Quality Is Not the Same Thing as Toxicity
- Consequences of the Noble Energy Decision
- Consequences for Waste Haulers
- Conclusion
- The Ninth Circuit Rules on Apportioning CERCLA Liability
 - Background of the Burlington Case
 - The District Courts Apportionment Formula
 - The Ninth Circuits Ruling
 - The Ninth Circuits Criticisms Are Valid
- Insurer Responses to Global Warming
 - Studying the Problem
 - Loss Prevention
 - New Policy Terms
 - New Products
 - Helping Clients Manage Carbon Risks
 - Making Green Investments
 - Raising Public Awareness
 - Reducing Their Own Carbon Emissions
 - Disclosure to Shareholders
 - Conclusion
- Assessing CGL Coverage for Global Warming
 - Potential CGL Coverage
 - Property Damage
 - Long Tail Nature of Global Warming Claims
 - Damages
 - Application of Pollution Exclusions
 - 1973 Sudden and Accidental Exception
 - Post 1986 Traditional Environmental Pollution
 - Known Loss Loss in Progress Doctrines
 - Allocation of Global Warming Damages
 - Conclusion
- Intensification of Liability Exposures for Global Warming
 - Standing
 - Special Solitude for State Governments
 - State Owned Property in Jeopardy
 - EPA Regulations Would Reduce the Risk

- Encouragement for Other Suits
 - Conclusion
- EPA and Army Corps Respond to Rapanos Decision
 - Background
 - The EPA Corps Guidance
- Duty To Indemnify Runs to Corporate Successors Under Ohio Law
 - Pilkington v Travelers
 - Coverage by Operation of Law
 - Insurance Recovery as a Chose in Action
 - Glidden v Lumbermens
 - Conclusion
- Insurer Liability for Cleanup Costs When No Duty To Defend Exists
 - Facts of Keystone Consolidated
 - The Seventh Circuits Opinion
 - The Insurers Options after Keystone
 - First Option Deny the Duty To Defend
 - Second Option Elect To Investigate Settle
 - Third Option Voluntarily Assume the Defense
 - Conclusion
- Supreme Court Curtails Reach of Clean Water Act
 - Basic CWA Jurisdiction
 - Expanded CWA Jurisdiction
 - The Rapanos Carabell Actions
 - Scalias Plurality Opinion
 - Kennedys Concurrence
 - What Does this Mean
- Finite Insurance Helps To Breathe New Life into Urban Communities
 - Finite Insurance Process for the Purposes of Development
 - Development Opportunities for Distressed Properties
 - Whats Old Is New Again
- Numerous Mercury Spills Were Multiple "Occurrences" Under Illinois Law
 - Facts of Nicor
 - The Gas Companys Insurance Program
 - Insurance Recovery Arguments
 - The Appellate Courts Decision
 - Take Away Lessons
- California Says Excess Coverage "Drops Down" To Cover Remediation Costs
 - The Powerine Oil Case
 - Disposition of the Lloyds Policies
 - Disposition of the Central National Policies
 - The County of San Diego Case

- Critique of the Powerine Oil Case
 - Conclusion
- Case Law Continues To Fragment over "Environmental-Only" Interpretation of Exclusion
 - New Jersey
 - Washington
 - Can the Washington Decisions Be Reconciled
 - Conflict of Other Authority
- Illinois Court Defines "Traditional Environmental Pollution"
 - Facts of the Case Fire Smoke and Litigation
 - The New Test Has Pollutant Spilled Beyond Borders of Insureds Premises
 - Valuable Raw Material Used for Recycling Operations Was Waste
 - Coverage Could Not be Saved by Information in the Complaint
 - Lessons Learned
- Supreme Court Limits Rights of PRPs under CERCLA
 - Facts of the Case
 - The Supreme Court Decision
 - Cost Recovery Other Than § 9613 (f)(1) Contribution
- "Pollutants" and Ambiguity: Major Battlefields of CGL Pollution Coverage
 - Is the Pollution Exclusion Inherently Contradictory
 - Application of Multiple Exclusions
 - Mutually Exclusive Exclusions
 - Must Pollutants Be Called by Name
- "Sudden and Accidental Discharge" Still Raises Issues
 - Cotter Corp v. Am. Empire Surplus Lines Ins. Co.
- ISO To Expand "Building Heating Equipment" Exception
- Insured's Misrepresentation Does Not Void UST Coverage
- California and New York Rein in the Pollution Exclusion
 - New York
 - California
 - Conclusion
- Response Costs as "Damages"; PRP Letter as "Suit"
 - Wisconsin Changes Its Mind
 - The Johnson Controls Case
 - What Is a Suit
 - Conclusion
- Eleventh Circuit Interprets "Building Heating Equipment" Exception
 - Source of the Poisoning Debated
 - From Means by Way of
 - From Means Discharged by
 - Conclusion

- Notice of Occurrence under the 1973 CGL
 - Eastern Products Corp v. Continental Cas. Co.
 - 1973 Policy Language
 - 1973 Policy Language Compared with More Recent Versions
- How "Total" Should a Total Pollution Exclusion Be?
 - Less Than Total Pollution Exclusion Endorsements
- IRMI Celebrates 25 Years of Excellence!
 - Then and Now At IRMI
- Insurer Bad Faith and the CGL Pollution Exclusion
 - Freidline
 - Indiana Supreme Court Appeal
 - Precedents Not Conclusive
 - Bad Faith
 - Conclusion
- Property Damage versus Cleanup Costs
 - Facts of the Case
 - An Illustration
 - The Language of Paragraph f 2
 - What Is a Request Demand or Order
 - Coverage Intent
- Reasonable Expectations About Pollution
 - I Know It When I See It
 - Expect a Shift
- ISO Files Mold Exclusion Endorsements
 - Case Law
 - The ISO Mold Exclusion
 - Limited Fungi or Bacteria Coverage
 - Effect of the Endorsements on CGL Coverage
- Washington Declines To Follow Montrose on "Known Loss"
- How To Design and Implement a Model Environmental Audit Program
 - Environmental Auditing Program Principles and Standards
 - A Model Environmental Audit Program
 - A Model Environmental Audit Program Manual
- IRMI.com Wins Best of the Web Award
- When Does Toxic Dumping Cause "Property Damage"?
- Seventh Circuit: Time-On-The Risk Includes Self-Insurance
- California: CGL "Damages" Can Only Be Awarded by Court
 - The Courts Reasoning
 - Objections
 - The Dissent
- California Again: No Single Rule for Allocating Defense Costs

- Whether Pre-Tender Response Costs Are Voluntary Payments
 - Are Cleanup Expenses Voluntary
 - Danger of Increased Damages
 - Prejudice to Insurer
 - No Prejudice No Voluntary Payment
 - Voluntary as Ambiguous Term
- If The Pollution Exclusion Won't Work, How About Impaired Property?
- CGL, EIL Markets Hardening
 - General Liability
 - Pollution Liability
- Risk Assessment Per The Known Loss & Loss in Progress Doctrines
 - The Montrose Case
 - Frequent Spills
 - Third Party General Liability Insurance
- Coverage for Pollution Discharges Caused by Product Liab. Occurrences
 - Coverage for Completed Operations
 - Products Completed Operations as Separate Coverage
 - Conclusion
- Fourth Circuit Limits Known Loss Rule
- Coverage for the Successor Corp. for Pollution Occurrences
 - Is Successor Corporation Entitled to Predecessors Coverage
 - Policy Clause Protects
 - Most Courts Have Not Denied Coverage
 - Some Exceptions
 - Other Courts Focus on Timing
 - Conclusion
- Breach of Contract & CGL Coverage
- Fumes from Construction Materials: Courts Go Both Ways
- Continuous Injury or Damage & the Known Loss Rule Under 1999 CGL Policy
 - The Known Loss Rule
 - The Continuous Injury Trigger
 - Filing Status
- Insurer's Burden of Proof to Support a Late Notice Defense
 - Forfeiture of Coverage Limited
 - Destruction of Evidence
 - Inability To Inspect
 - May Be Rebutted
 - Substitute Information Insufficient
 - Analysis Must Be Included
- New Environmental Risk Mgmt. Products & Services
 - Travelers Environmental Insurance for Commercial Real Estate Transactions
 - ERM Integrated Emergency Response Plans

- New CGL Broad Form Pollution Exclusion Implemented
 - Cleanup Costs and Third Party Property Damage
 - Fumes from Materials Brought to Job Site
 - Pollution Events on Premises Owned by Additional Insured
- Interpreting the "Other Ins." Clause When Multiple Policy Periods Are Triggered
- Insured Must Prove Sudden & Accidental Discharge
 - Comment
- ECS Offers Report Service in Response to Act
- Distinguishing Insurer & Insured: CA Rejects Apportionment of Self-Insured Retention
- ISO Announces Changes to CGL Pollution Exclusion
- Cleanup Exclusion Does Not Apply to Third-Party Prop. Damage